UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

MICHAEL I	KITCI	HEN.
-----------	-------	------

v.

CASE NO. 2:18-CV-11471 HONORABLE GEORGE CARAM STEEH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

HEIDI WASHINGTON, et. al.,

De	fendants,	
		/

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING THE *EX PARTE* MOTION TO TRANSFER THE CASE TO THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN (Doc. 4) AND THE MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT (Doc. 5).

On May 17, 2018, this Court dismissed plaintiff's civil rights case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) because plaintiff had three prior cases that had been dismissed for being frivolous, malicious, or for failing to state a claim upon which relief could be granted and his complaint did not allege that he was in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury. The Court indicated that plaintiff could reinstate the complaint if he paid the \$ 400.00 filing fee. To date, plaintiff has not attempted to pay the \$ 400.00 filing fee.

Plaintiff has filed an *Ex Parte* Motion to Transfer the Case to the Western District and a Motion for Relief From Judgment. For the reasons that follow, the motions are DENIED.

Plaintiff claims that the case should be transferred to the Western District of

Michigan because venue would be more proper in that district because plaintiff is

incarcerated there, the defendants reside there, and the cause of action took place in

that district. Plaintiff claims that he wishes to pay the \$400.00 filing fee to

reinstate the case, although he has yet to do so.

It is true that in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil

action to any other district or division where the action might have been brought.

See United States v. P.J. Dick, Inc., 79 F. Supp. 2d 803, 805-06 (E.D. Mich.

2000)(Gadola, J.); 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). A transfer of this case would not be in the

interest of justice, because the three strikes provision contained in 28 U.S.C. §

1915(g) prohibits plaintiff from proceeding in forma pauperis based on his prior

litigation history of frivolous or malicious cases in the federal courts. See Mitchell

v. Holliday, 202 F. Supp. 3d 116, 120 (D.D.C. 2016). To the extent that plaintiff

wishes to reopen his case to pay the \$400.00 filing fee, such a request is premature

prior to him submitting the \$400.00 filing fee to this Court.

The Ex Parte Motion to Transfer Case to the Western District of Michigan

and the Motion for Relief From Judgment are DENIED.

s/s/George Caram Steeh

HON. GEORGE CARAM STEEH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: February 7, 2019

2